FEAURE BASED FUSSION SYSTEM FOR ANOMALOUS SOUNDS MONITORING
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ABSTRACT

Anomaly detection has a wide range of application scenarios in industry such as finding fraud cases in financial industry or finding network intrusion in network security. And finding anomaly condition of machines in factories can prevent causing damage. In this paper, we introduce our system for Task2 of Dcase 2020 challenges (Unsupervised Detection of Anomalous Sounds for Machine Condition Monitoring). We focus on finding relationship between different kinds of features and different types of anomaly sounds. MFCC, log-mel, log-linear and HPSS are fed into a deep autoencoder (DAE). We use the baseline DAE as our primary network, meanwhile, compared to Isolation Forest (IF) and One-class SVM (OC-SVM). Experiment results shows that for different machine type, different features may improve the detection results respectively, and DAE is more likely to perform much better than machine learning techniques.

Index Terms— Anomaly detection, autoencoder, feature fusion

1. INTRODUCTION

Anomalies are also referred to as abnormalities, deviants, or outliers in the data mining and statistics literature [1]. The purpose of anomaly detection algorithm is to find a boundary between normal data and anomalous data. The challenge of anomaly detection is the lack of anomaly data and the uncertain types of anomaly data. To extract general feature of normal data, machine learning techniques such as PCA (Principal Components Analysis), IF and OC-SVM are widely applied in anomaly detection. But traditional anomaly detection algorithms can not handle high dimensional data and is weak in feature extracting. Deep anomaly detection (DAD) can learn hierarchical discriminative features from data, and advocates to solve the problems and is developed rapidly in recent years. Autoencoder (AE) is one of the common DAD algorithms, GAN (Generative Adversarial Network), VAE (Variational autoencoder) and OC-NN (one class neural network) are generally applied in various scenes [2]. AE can compress the input data into a lower dimension in a supervised way and decode the data to initial input data. By minimizing the distance between decoded data and initial input data (reconstruction error), the encoded data can greatly represent the input data. The AE, trained with normal data can hardly reconstruct the anomaly data, so a significant reconstruction error will occur when the anomaly data are fed into the AE.

2. TASK DATASET AND SETUP

ToyADMOS and MIMII Dataset are used as the primary dataset in the task [4] [5]. ToyADMOS contains two types of sound, ToyCar and ToyConveyor. MIMII contains four types of sound, fan, pump, slider and valve. Each recording is a 10-second audio, for each machine type split, there are three or four different machine IDs.

Development dataset: For each machine ID, there are around 1,000 samples of normal sounds for training and 100-200 samples each of normal and anomalous sounds for the test.

Additional training dataset: This dataset includes around 1,000 normal samples for each Machine Type and Machine ID used in the evaluation dataset.

Evaluation dataset: It consists of the same Machine Types’ test samples as the development dataset. The number of test samples for each Machine ID is around 400, none of which have a condition label (i.e., normal or anomaly).

This task is evaluated with the area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve (AUC) and the partial-AUC (pAUC). The pAUC is an AUC calculated from a portion of the ROC curve over the pre-specified range of interest.

3. ANOMALY DETECTION ALGORITHMS

Traditional machine learning algorithms try to find the boundary of normal data or the hyper plane between normal and anomalous data. Isolation forest is an unsupervised anomaly detection method for continuous data [7].

Isolation forest detects outliers by isolating sample points. Specifically, the algorithm uses a binary tree to isolate samples. Because the number of outliers is scarce and they are deviated from most samples, outliers will be isolated earlier, that means, outliers
using a deterministic function of the type $h$ onto its code $D$.

**Input** | **Input dim**
--- | ---
Dense*4 | 128
Dense | 5
Dense*4 | 128
Dense | Input dim

**Table 1: AE architecture**

will be closer to the root node, while normal values will be farther away from the root node.

OC-SVM or SVDD (Support vector domain description) uses a hypersphere instead of a hyperplane to partition. The algorithm obtains the spherical boundary around the normal data in the feature space, and expects to minimize the volume of the hypersphere. So if a data is not surrounded by the hypersphere, it is considered to be an outlier or anomaly.

Autoencoder is a kind of artificial neural networks used in semi supervised learning and unsupervised learning. It can learn the efficient representation of input data, so it is widely applied in dimensionality reduction and anomaly detection. An autoencoder takes an input $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and first maps it to the latent representation $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$ using a deterministic function of the type $h = f_\theta = \sigma(Wx + b)$ with parameters $\theta = \{W, b\}$. This “code” is then used to reconstruct the input by a reverse mapping of $f$.

$$ y = f_\sigma(h) = \sigma(W'h + b') $$

with $\theta' = \{W', b'\}$. Each training pattern $x_i$ is then mapped onto its code $h_i$ and its reconstruction $y_i$. The parameters are optimized, minimizing an appropriate cost function over the training set $D_n = \{(x_0, t_0), \ldots, (x_n, t_n)\}$ [8].

In our experiments, an AE is used to extract feature embedding to train IF and OC-SVM, and to detect anomalous data as well. Scikit-learn provides IF and OC-SVM functions, the estimators of IF are 256 and the $\nu$ of OC-SVM is $1e^{-4}$. The AE architecture of the baseline is described in Table 1 and it is our primary network.

## 4. FEATURES EXTRACTION

Recordings are loaded with default sample rate and applied short time Fourier transform (STFT) with a Hanning window size of 1024 and hop length of 512 samples. Mel and linear filters with bands of 128 are used to transformed STFT spectrogram to mel and linear spectrogram. Then the mel spectrograms are used to generate MFCCs of 128 bands.

The harmonic percussive source separation (HPSS) [9] can split a signal $w(t)$ into harmonic part $h(t)$ and percussive part $p(t)$ and there are several approaches to separate. We can simplify the separation procedure as follows [10]

$$ w(t) \xrightarrow{HPSS} h(t), p(t) $$

The harmonic and percussive spectrograms are generated from STFT spectrogram with librosa decompose function. All the spectrograms are calculated by the log algorithm to get log spectrograms.

As it is introduced in the baseline system, a spectrogram of the input $X = \{X_t\}_{t=1}^T$ where $X_t \in \mathbb{R}^F$, and $F$ and $T$ are the number of mel-filters and time-frames, respectively. Then, the acoustic feature at $t$ is obtained by concatenating before/after several frames of outputs as $\psi_t = (X_{t-P}, \ldots, X_{t+P}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2P+1}$, where $D = F \times (2P + 1)$ and $P$ is the context window size. Because the size of frequency axis is 640 for log-mel, log-linear and MFCC but 513 for hpss-h and hpss-p, it is necessary to decrease the $P$ dimension of hpss-h and hpss-p. So The $P$ is set to 2 and 1 respectively.

## 5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We extract the encoded embeddings of dense layer with size of 8 and train them with IF or OC-SVM. Then different features are fed into an AE.

Table 2 shows all the AUC/pAUC scores of algorithms and features for each machine type. The results of Encoder+IF and Encoder+OC-SVM are much worse than AE. This may due to that the highly compressed nonlinear embeddings can not be classified by these algorithm.

Log-mel gets the best scores of ToyCar, ToyConveyor and fan. As for the other 4 features, MFCCs also preforms well in ToyCar, ToyConveyor and fan. Log-linear gets good results in ToyCar and slider. For the HPSS, harmonic spectrogram gets highest score of 0.801/0.628 in pump and performs well in ToyConveyor and fan. The hpss-p can explore slider and valve sounds which may contains percussive components, it obtains 0.917/0.782 and 0.845/0.661 on these two machine types, about 7.9% and 27.1% improvement from the baseline.
Table 2: Best AUC/pAUC scores of algorithms and features for each machine type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>ToyCar</th>
<th>ToyConveyor</th>
<th>Fan</th>
<th>Pump</th>
<th>Slider</th>
<th>Valve</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AE</td>
<td>Log-mel</td>
<td>0.801/0.672</td>
<td>0.727/0.607</td>
<td>0.652/0.526</td>
<td>0.726/0.600</td>
<td>0.850/0.669</td>
<td>0.665/0.506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encoder+IF</td>
<td>Log-mel</td>
<td>0.430/0.485</td>
<td>0.505/0.509</td>
<td>0.530/0.516</td>
<td>0.460/0.524</td>
<td>0.501/0.525</td>
<td>0.536/0.512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encoder+OC-SVM</td>
<td>Log-mel</td>
<td>0.455/0.502</td>
<td>0.500/0.507</td>
<td>0.536/0.531</td>
<td>0.509/0.490</td>
<td>0.626/0.527</td>
<td>0.526/0.503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE</td>
<td>MFCC</td>
<td>0.791/0.668</td>
<td>0.705/0.580</td>
<td>0.647/0.524</td>
<td>0.739/0.602</td>
<td>0.844/0.660</td>
<td>0.670/0.508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE</td>
<td>Log-linear</td>
<td>0.750/0.618</td>
<td>0.672/0.564</td>
<td>0.597/0.508</td>
<td>0.686/0.594</td>
<td>0.911/0.761</td>
<td>0.741/0.542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE</td>
<td>Hpss-h</td>
<td>0.638/0.561</td>
<td>0.593/0.529</td>
<td>0.533/0.522</td>
<td>0.612/0.579</td>
<td>0.917/0.782</td>
<td>0.845/0.661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE</td>
<td>Hpss-p</td>
<td>0.662/0.538</td>
<td>0.724/0.606</td>
<td>0.642/0.525</td>
<td>0.801/0.628</td>
<td>0.810/0.595</td>
<td>0.567/0.504</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Final output is fused with the results of different features, based on the best performance for each machine type on development dataset.

### 6. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we present a feature based system for Anomalous Sounds Monitoring. In our approach, five different features are generated as inputs of the networks. Then, an AE is applied for detecting anomalous data. Finally, we fused different results of the AE according to the AUC scores. It can be concluded that different features can improve the performance for some machine types respectively. The fusion method can make a great improvement than the baseline system. For further work, encoder plus machine learning algorithm for anomaly detection will be studied, and advantages of detecting different machinery sounds with features will be researched as well.
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